

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/583,802</p>	<p>Applicant(s) ESSEMIANI ET AL</p>
<p>Examiner /Peter A. Hruskoci/</p>	<p>Art Unit 1797</p>	

All Participants:

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) Larry L. Coats

Date of Interview: 30 October 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 112 rejection as stated in the prior Office action dated 8/19/09

Claims discussed:
76, 107, 114, 116, 118.

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims and the title as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy, in view of pages 4 and 5 of the instant specification

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)